UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA : CRIMINAL ACTION

:

v.

•

ABDULLAH MCLAUGHLIN : No. 17-121-2

ORDER

AND NOW, this 4th day of January, 2019, upon consideration of the Defendant's Motion to Suppress Identifications (Doc. No. 109), and the Government's response thereto (Doc. No. 115), it is hereby **ORDERED** that the Motion is **DENIED** and the identifications subject to the defendant's Motion are admissible at trial¹.

BY THE COURT:

/s/ Gene E.K. Pratter
GENE E.K. PRATTER
United States District Judge

¹ At the October 4th and 12th 2018 evidentiary hearing and oral argument on this motion the Government presented sufficient bases upon which the Court concludes that the identification procedure employed by the Philadelphia Police Department was not "unduly suggestive" and, more over, that the witnesses had adequate independent knowledge on which to base identification of the Defendant.